1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	ALONZO DEON JOHNSON,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-03-2063 FCD JFM P
12	VS.
13	CLAUDE E. FINN, Warden,
14	Respondent.
15	/
16	DARRYL L. THOMPSON,
17	Petitioner, No. CIV S-04-2208 MCE PAN P
18	vs.
19	TOM L. CAREY, Warden,
20	Respondent.
21	
22	Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these cases are related
23	within the meaning of Local Rule 83-123(a), E.D. Cal. (2005). The actions involve the same
24	criminal prosecution and the same questions of fact and law. Accordingly, assignment of the
25	matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial saving of judicial effort and is also likely
26	to be convenient for the parties.

Case 2:04-cv-02208-JAM-JFM Document 9 Filed 09/19/05 Page 2 of 2

1	The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 83-123
2	merely has the result that the actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the
3	actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned
4	to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.
5	Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the action denominated as Thompson v. Carey,
6	Case No. CIV S-04-2208 MCE PAN P is reassigned to the undersigned and to Magistrate Judge
7	John F. Moulds for all further proceedings. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the
8	reassigned case shall be shown as No. CIV S-04-2208 FCD JFM P.
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate
10	adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.
11	DATED:September 16, 2005
12	
13	/s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr. FRANK C. DAMRELL JR.
14	United States District Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	